الإنسابة في الوفساء

إعداد ريم عدنان عبد الرحمن الشنطي

> إشراف د.علي السر طاوي

إلى من أنارا لي طريقي بجبهما و رضاهما

و الدي و والدتي الحبيـــــــين.

إلى رفيق دربي

زوجي العزيز مهند

إلى أحبتي إخوتي و أخواتي

و أخصهم ذكرا أخي الحبيب أسيد .

و من ساعدتني في مسيرة حياتي

وعلمتني الصبر و الكفاح الحبيبة تهاني.

إلى عينيني اللتين أبصر بهما

طفليّ الحبيبين عمرو وسارة.

وأخيرا إلى كل من أحبني بصدق.

الشكر و التقطير

بداية الشكر والدود لله ربّ العالمين الذي أنعمٌ عليّ بنعمة الصدة والعلم.

ثم أتقدم بالشكر والتقدير إلى جهيع أساتذتي في كلية القانون في جامعة النجام الوطنية وأخصّهم ذكرا الدكتور محمد شراقة والدكتور أمين دواس — عميد كلية القانون في الجامعة الأمريكية حالياً — والدكتور غسان عمر.

كما أتقدم بجزيل الشكر والعرفان والتقدير إلى أساتذتي الأفاضل الأستاذ الدكتور على السرطاوي بما له من فضل عظيم في الإشراف على هذه الرسالة.و الأستاذ الدكتور حسين مشاقي الذي كان لبصماتهم على هذه الرسالة فخر لي.

| 1 | |
|----|-----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | " : |
| 9 | : |
| 11 | : |
| 11 | · · |
| 13 | · |
| 15 | : |
| 16 | · · |
| 17 | ; . |
| 20 | : |
| 21 | . : |
| 24 | . : |
| 25 | . : |
| 30 | : |
| 33 | : |

| 34 | : |
|----|-----|
| 38 | : |
| 42 | ; . |
| 43 | : |
| 46 | : |
| 49 | : |
| 51 | · · |
| 52 | · |
| 55 | · . |
| 56 | • |
| 61 | · |
| 63 | : |
| 64 | |
| 70 | · |
| 71 | : |
| 71 | : |
| 73 | : |
| 75 | : |
| 77 | : |
| 80 | : |
| 81 | : |
| 88 | : |
| 89 | : |
| 91 | : |
| 92 | : |
| 93 | : |
| 93 | |

| 96 | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|
| 97 | · |
| 99 | · · · |
| 101 | |
| 102 | . : |
| 106 | . : |
| 107 | |
| 108 | |
| 108 | |
| 109 | |
| 111 | и и . |
| 112 | • |
| 112 | |
| 118 | . : |
| 119 | · |
| 119 | |
| 119 | |
| 121 | |
| 123 | . : |
| 124 | |
| 124 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 125 | : |
| 127 | • |
| 129 | |
| 132 | |
| 133 | . : |
| 133 | |
| 133 | . : |
| 133 | 1 |

| 141 | | .2 |
|-----|------|----------|
| 141 | , II | n . |
| 141 | | : |
| 142 | | : |
| b | | Abstract |



•



.

.

·

•

.

п

()

.1

. " / "

•

.2

.3

.

.4

.

·

) (:

:

:

:

: :

: :

:

" : :

;

:

. :

" " :

. :

. :

131 1948

. 361-359

.393-391

.

1976

.

.

(

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

; :

:

(358-352)

.(390-384)

429

.1

.

.174 .2003. .

2n .

п

.1 "()

"-: 2"

"-;

.3₁₁

.

н п

•

n n

: .248 .1994.

.255 .1994.

386 385 354 353

÷

. .1

.3

. .4

_ " "

•

.455 . : 1

:

:

.()

.2

.326 .1995. .255 .

.255 . : .462 . .249 .

1 1 .51 . .303 ..2004 .

.349 .1997.

387 355
"-:
1/352
1/384
-: ()

." – "

. 2

.463 . : 1 : .258 . : .326 . : ²

.217 .1996.

2/384 2/352

3/384 3/352

.496 .2004.

:

356 2/388

357

-330 .

: .310 .1990. / : .373 . : .164 . .333

.379 . : .99 .1996.

.304 . : .250 . : .261 .

389

;

.1

п

____1_{__}

.2

"-:

.3

" -:

. .

· · · · ·

: .304 . : .380 . : .929 .1992 . . / . . ." / : .262 .

.56 .2005.

п

. 1 u

390 358

п .

.

355

-: 387

.....

1

:

·

· :

(322-315) (314-303)

.(350-343) (342-330)

1976 43

()

.1 .

.(700-673)

.205 .1950.

560

678 .226 .1975

679 .8 .2002.

" 697

]

.601 . / 27

()

90/14

1017 993)_: 1976 43

п

.³(1004 1003 1002 1001 995 1016 1015 1011 1010

.462 .1992

•

":

-:

90/14

. (1016 1015 1011 1010)

(93/546) (90/14)

. (99/39) (1017 -993)

: "

.166 -162 .2005/21:9 . / . / .

3.

.30 .2003. .157 :

: .215 .1997. " : .865 .1961

.293 . . .

.253 ..2003.

:

.12 .1956.

.911 .1990 .

.166 .

: .170 . : .30 . : ¹

() ()

()

330 303

.-:

.1()

" 2/ 995

1015 ."

- - : .388 . :

: .295 .1997.

.255 . : .279 .

330 303

41/352

.167 . : .294 .

: .279 . .506 . .255 .

1 ."

303

304

330

331

28 1977/3/22 - 41 352 .732

1997 1931

.96 .1998.

) . .(.1955.

.21-18

.196 .1992.

(....) 330 303

.168 . : 1

.168 . : 1

.20 : .168 : :

:

2/1015 " -:

1

. 25/201 ²

"-:

: .253 . : .459 . : ²

-295 . : .33 . : .263 .

.388 . : .296 . : .296

. ": 75 2860

1..

. _2"

-:

: 684 10 1959/11/19 - 25 201 ¹

.110-109 .

: .108 39 1988/6/27 - 75 2860 ²

.114

() : .1 .2 .3 : .4 : .170 . .916 . .253 .

•'

•

.258 . : .459 . : .917 . : .

:

:

:

:

.

306

"-: 333

, ii

·

. : .601

-922 · : .261 · : .485 · .

.290 . : .923

. 1 .

": 2--

· () ()

340 312 .3

u .

.1

: .583 6 1955/2/3 - 21 340 ¹

.171 . : 3

.

307

"-: 334

. "-: 1010

-. 1010 "

.272 . : 1

.384 . :

-483 . : .181 . : : .3

: .918 . : .290-285 . : .485

.871-864 . : .172 .

-. "

.1 .

308

1010

.

336

.3

.

.236 . : ¹
260-258 . : ²

.172 . : .237 . : 3

338 310

.

1

·

"-: 339 311

п

2

3

. : .921 . : .321 . : .

48

.174 . : 3

- 1 313

1/1015 341

314 -2

1016 342

.1976 43

.554-553 .2001.

: .239 .

.203 .1999. 926 . : .296 . 49 .306 .

97/1426

 :
 .204
 :
 .176
 :
 1

 .343-342
 :
 .39
 :
 .239
 .

 .225
 .1998
 :
 :1997//10/13
 97/1426
 2

 50
 50

:

; ;

; ;

:

.263 . : .4 . 1 .

.37 .1970. .37 .1970. : 2 : 3 .239-238 .

(

1.

n n

.267 .

:

. :

· :

п

315 ": 343

. 993 " "-: 683

•

1/344

1/316

98/65

2/344 2/316

-304 . : .658 .

: .269-267 . -930 . .305

.215 . : .931

. : .655 .

: .310 . : .277 . : .177 .268 .

98/65

.226 .1998. . :1998/2/21

1/350 1/322

.506-505 . .

·

1/ 996

— : ...

2/ 996

993 ."

996 996 .

•

.2 996

"-: 997

·

.269 . : ¹
.179 . : ²

.

999

.1 .

1000 :

.1

.2

" 685

."
60

·

.6

4

1/1001

994

:

348 321

."

()

.514-513 : .197 : : .197

.181-180 . :

.

2:

.1 682 .

.2

680

. : .681 . : .371 .2002 . .49 .

-269 . :

.308-307 . : .181 . .933-932. : .270

.604-603 . :

:

:

:

: .278 . : .499-498 . : : . : .270 . .304 . : .188 .

1

.

•

2/317 2/345

.

.183 . : .306 . : .933 .

.51 . :

"-: 1006

."

.

1.

. 2

" -: 1009

n .

u u

: .201 . : .183 . : · ·

.535 .

: .201 . : .51 . : · · · · ·

.183 .

- .2

.

.¹

)

(

.184 . :

319

349

1011

.307 . .497 . : -633 . .200 . .271 . : .220-219 . .353-352 . : .634

. : 2 : : .281-280 . .352 . :

.185 . : .936 . .222 .

и.

1012

•

.1 .

"-: 406 ."

1014 1013 :

. .1

.3

. 4

.186 . : 1

1

-: .1

.1

.2

-219 · : .353-352 · : .1 .933 · : .271 · : .221

.()) 2/352 (2/384 .(

" " :(1)

.

:(2)

:(3)

:(4)

354 384

()

-:

)

: -()

.

•

() ()

. 73

.

()

п п •

•

1

: .

: -

. ()

.380 . :

/ . /

(/) (/)

:

:

.1

. ()

() .2

•

.1

.2

.

•

:

.1

.2

.3

.

360 1/359 –

1₁ .

.212 : 89/15

:

:

; :

:

:

1/391 1/359

"-: ."

1 ...

ıı 2 ıı

3 .**"**

.333 . : .23 . : .

: .370 . : .535 . :

.352 . : 2

." : .450 . : ³

.304 . : .302 .1957 . . .

.263 .

.12 .1995.

.46-42 .1995. . / .

.26 .2000. 82

/

. 11 11 ...

.

1 .37 .1988. 0 .13 .1983.

.115-97 .1995.

G.H.Terite: The Law Of Contract. NinthEdition.London.SweetAndMaxwell.1995.p1-7.andG.H.Beal.andM.P.Furmston:Contracs"CasesAndMaterials.SecondEdition.Edinburgh ,London.Buterworths.1990.p3.and Ewan.Mckendrick: Contract Law.

SecondEdition.Lonond.Macmillan.1994.p15.

.30

-29 .1900.

п п

n.

(...) 2/187 " 1959/2/12

359

1 n

11 11 11 11

.

.226 . : 134 - 1959/2/12

1

Avery Wien Katz : The Economic Analysis Of Guaranty Contract.p1 1

1

2/359 2/391

.393 . : .532 . : . .764 . : .

.764 .

:

; ;

;

· :

; ;

; ;

•

:

1/392 1/360

1

п

.

.()

: .115 .1995. . . .

.263

() () 1.()

и и .

пп

•

. 402

. : .402 . : : .250 . : .877 .

•

: :

; ;

· ·

:

:

•

.() ()

.()

. 1_.

: .481-480 . : .247 . : ¹

.254 .

:

1/385 1/353

2

." -:

": :

3 _...

: .288 . : 1

.469 .1970.

385 384 354 353 352 ²
354 353 352 ":

: .1989/1/29 – 56 1932 (....

.212 . 1/352 ":

: 1031 29 1978/4/19 - 43 616 .230 .

.(

384 353

.()

.1

2.

.

.

.174 .2003. . . .

.1 224 202

1/360) 1/392

.(

. " -:1964/11/12

.334 . : 1

.429 .1997.

2.

1029 15 1964/11/12

1/386 1/354)

)-: 1968/4/28

354

¹.(

2. 3

528 13 1968/4/28 .861 : :

.209 . : .53 :

.469 . :

0 "-: 1984/12/9

354

3

.1

.2

.856-855 : 51 337 1984/12/9 1

.328 . . / : .463 . . / :

.2

.3 .(

1984/12/9

1/392 1/360

(

1984/12/9 1

51 337 .856-855

1...

.317 . : 1

: :

; :

: " " .

:

: : 1,,

2

3 ...

и 4 и

11 11

.165 . : ²
394 . : ³

.251 . : 4

_1 .

()

....."-: 1962/4/28

211

()

"-: 2

3.

....."-: 1959/2/12

4 u

.

.308 . . .471 . . / :

2

.

.

.142-141 . : .

.308 . : 3

.861 . : .143 10 1959/2/12 ⁴

:

:

:

:

.326-319 . : .91-89 . : : 107

.

.

2.

: 3

.

и и и и ·

." : 1

.142-139 .1995 . / . . /

.371-307 .1987 . . / . . . :

.137-133 .

()

.

.

· :

. 1

 $. All an Farns worth \ 60 \ .2006. \" \" \\ and William F. young: Contracts cases and Materials. Third Edition. no place. no publisher. p127-128.$

.48-47 .1995.

:

:

и и

· :

1 .

.183-182 . : 1

393 360 .

.2

.4

.1014

.68-64 . : ²

.345 . : 3

.68 . : 4

n

п

1".

.78 . : 1

. ()

. – –

1

.184 . : 1

1975 31

.36-29 : : -367 . / :

.32 . : .369 .184 . : ²

.

.(

п

.

.**177**-173 . : ¹

:

:

; ;

:

:

:

; .

: العلاقة بين المنيب والمناب

•

. 2

الفرع الثاني: العلاقة بين المناب والمناب لديه

.

·

: .381 . : .792 . : · · · ·

.355 .

.355 . .794 .

الفرع الثالث: العلاقة بين المنيب والمناب لديه

1 1/392 1/360) .(.1 2/388 2/356 () (3/389 1/357 4/389 /1/357 : .374-373 . / : ² -164 . .165 .333-330 . .345 : .261 .

360 . () 392

.381 . : .381 . :

:

:

:

: العلاقة بين المنيب والمناب لديه

.2

.334 . : .58 . : .

.477 . / : .71 . " : .48-47 . . : .207 .2005. . - / .

.()

.1014

293 361

.68-64 . :

.58 .

п

п п п

.

п п п

"

(/)

.1

.

.429

.3

.

•

.1

.2

....

.3

.4

.5

•

384 353 -

/

.() ."

.

330 -

...**)**

.(

331 304 .330/303 307 334) .(2/384 2/352 ...) .(.6

.1

.2

:

:

1948 131 .1976 43 1976. .2003. . .1 .1995 .

. .() .1996 . .1995 . .2002 .1992 . .1996 . .1975 . .1997 .1978 .

134

.2003 .

.1976 43 .2001. 1 / .2004 . .1991. .2005. .() .1952 . : .1990 . .1975 . .2000 . .1985 . .1993 .

.2003. .2000 . .1995 . .1997 . .1995 .) .(.1984. .2004 . .1990 . /

136

1994 .

```
.(
                            )
.1955 .
                             /
                                     .1995 .
                             .1995 .
              .1970 .
                                               . /
                                                .1974 .
                                                 :
                          .1997 .
                          .1979 .
                     )
  .(
                             .1998 .
                                                :
        1931
1997
       .1998 .
```

.1997 . .(.1997 . .1996 . .1997 . .1949 . / .1956 . .2005. .2003 . /

.1999 .

.2001 .1988 . 1995. .1995 . .1997 . .1994 . .1956 .

.1957 .

.1956 . : / .1992 . .1970 . .1950 . / .1900 . .2001. .1992 .

140

.1992 .

. .2

Allan Farnsworth.yong: Contracts Cases And Materials. Third Edition.

NO place. NO publisher. NO year.

Avery Wien Katz: The Economic Analysis Of Guaranty Contract.pl.

htt://www.jstor.com.مقال تم الحصول عليه من الموقع الالكتروني

Ewan.Mckendrick: Contract Law. Second Edition.London.Macmillan 1994.

G.H.Beal.andM.P.Furmston: Contracs" Cases And Materials. Second Edition. Edinburgh, London. Buterworths. 1990.

G.H.Terite: **The Law Of Contract**. NinthEdition.London.Sweet And Maxwell.1995.

" :

.

.181-157 2005 /9:21 . / .

:

" : "

.2006 – –

:

htt://www**.jstor**.com.

An-Najah National. University Faculty of Graduate Studies

Delegation in Payment: Comparative Study Of Egyptian and Jordanian Civil Laws and The Palestinian Draft Civil Law

By Reem Adnan Abdel-Rahman e-Shanti

> Advisor Dr. Ali Sartawi

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of private Law, Development, Faculty of Graduate Studies, at An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

2007

Delegation in Payment: Comparative Study Of Egyptian and Jordanian Civil Laws and The Palestinian Draft Civil Law

By

Reem Adnan Abdel-Rahman e-Shanti Advisor Dr. Ali Sartawi

Abstract

The subject of this thesis is about the delegation system in payment or to put more accurately the role of the delegation system in performance. The role of delegation is determined by the legal nature of delegation in payment and legal system of delegation.

This study holds a comparison between the Egyptian, Jordanian civil laws and the Palestinian draft civil law.

The study in divided into preparation and two chapters. Chapter one held a comparison between the delegation system and novation and assignment and transfer of debt. The basis of this comparison is scientific methodology which depends on comparison between the laws and the legislations, subject of this research, in dealing with the three systems in terms of concept, pillars, types and effects to identify areas of weakness and strength, points of difference and similarity to arrive at the sound legal adaptation of delegation in payment, investigated in the fourth requirement of the last section of this chapter.

Chapter two was devoted to the delegation system in payment in the framework of a scientific, analytical, legal, objective detailed study of the delegation system in payment and how delegation is different from characteristics of expressions, terms and similar close legal systems. The chapter also dwelt on the legal characterization given to it given its being a source of obligation. Then the chapter moved to types of delegation in payment according

to classification accredited by the common laws. The two types of delegation are prefect and imperfect delegations. Sections two and three of chapter one tackled both types. In section two, the researcher examined perfect delegation, in terms of concept and legal adaptation, be it a contract or not and if so, what are pillars and required conditions for its fulfillment. The researcher dealt with the second requirement in the section explaining the reason for characterizing it as perfect and illustrating the benefits which this type of delegation achieves.

The second type of delegation, namely imperfect delegation, was dealt with in the third section of this chapter. The researcher illustrated its concept and pillars and explained its characterization as imperfect, and differentiating it from other close legal systems. The researcher, further, illustrated the embodiment of this delegation in legal posts in the framework of civil transactions and in the framework of international trade. This last framework led me to the necessity of calling for its standardization with the modern common civil legislations and fostering it with in the rules of international trade.

In the fourth section, the researcher tackled the rulings which result from delegation of the two types (perfect and imperfect) Based on that, the researcher illustrated the extent of the lawmaker's accuracy in underlining delegation under causes of expiry that equals payment

The study concluded with several results and recommendations.

